Danner, Ward

From: Wilson, Patrick

Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 2:51 PM

To: Jennifer deNicola

Subject: RE: NRDC vs EPA court ruling

Good Afternoon Ms. DeNicola (Jennifer),

Allow me to return to the office & find the citation to share with you. It comes from what is referred to as: "The National Contingency Plan". This is part of the Superfund or CERCLA statute.

Very kind message from you to Jeff & others. Thanks very much -

From: Jennifer deNicola <jd18@me.com> Sent: Monday, February 10, 2014 10:45 AM

To: Wilson, Patrick

Subject: NRDC vs EPA court ruling

Dear Patrick:

I was just given this information and wanted to ask you what you know about it.

There is no congressionally mandated "acceptable risk" number for any toxic chemical. And in fact, in a lawsuit by NRDC against EPA, the court ruled in favor of EPA that there was no congressional mandated risk level and moreover, that EPA has complete discretion to set any applicable risk threshold's.

Is this true? How does this apply to us?

Thank you!

Jennifer deNicola